UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA AUG 30 AH 10: 34 SOUTHERN DIVISION	
JACQUELINE D. HENDERSON,	N.D. OF ALABAMA
Plaintiff,	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}}}}}}}}}$
vs.	) }
WASHINGTON NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY, CONSECO SERVICES, L.L.C., THOMAS B. HANEY; and TRUSTMARK NATIONAL BANK,	Civil Action No. CV04-B-2433-S
Defendants.	) )

## **MOTION TO DISMISS**

COMES NOW the Defendant, Thomas B. Haney, Jr., and files this, his motion to dismiss, on the following separate and several gounds:

- 1. The complaint fails to state a claim against this Defendant upon which relief may be granted and, as such, this Defendant has been improperly and fraudulently joined in this matter solely in an attempt to prevent the removal of this case to Federal Court.
- 2. The complaint and each of the claims and counts therein are barred by the applicable statute of limitations.
- 3. Plaintiff's claims of fraud (first cause of action) and fraudulent concealment (second cause of action) are barred by the statute of limitations of two (2) years, Ala. Code §6-2-38 (1975), and the savings provision contained in Ala. Code §6-2-3 which tolls the statute of limitations until the alleged fraud has been discovered has not been plead with the required specificity. In further support of this defense, Defendant adopts and incorporates herein the grounds and arguments set forth in the Defendant Washington National Insurance Company's Motion to Dismiss.
- 4. Plaintiff's claim of conspiracy (third cause of action) fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted because the complaint shows on its face that it is barred by the statute of limitations. In addition, since there are no viable underlying claims against the Defendant to support Plaintiff's conspiracy claims against this Defendant, Plaintiff's conspiracy claim is due to be dismissed. In further support of this defense Defendant adopts and incorporates herein the grounds and arguments set forth in the Defendant Washington National Insurance Company's Motion to Dismiss.



- 5. Plaintiff's claim for breach of fiduciary duty (fourth cause of action) is barred by the two (2) year statute of limitation. Moreover, no fiduciary relationship exists between an applicant for insurance and/or insured and her soliciting agent; consequently, Plaintiff's claim for breach of fiduciary duty is due to be dismissed on the ground also. In further support of this defense Defendant adopts and incorporates herein the grounds and arguments set forth in the Defendant Washington National Insurance Company's Motion to Dismiss.
- 6. Plaintiff's fifth cause of action (breach of contract) does not state a claim against this Defendant, nor could it since the face of the complaint shows there was no contract in existence between Thomas B. Haney, Jr., an individual and the Plaintiff and the law recognizes that an agent for a disclosed principal cannot be personally liable for breach of contract. Moreover, any claim for breach of contract against this Defendant would be barred by the statute of limitations.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles D. Stewart Attorney for Defendant Thomas B. Haney, Jr.

harlest.

OF COUNSEL: SPAIN & GILLON, LLC,

The Zinszer Building 2117 Second Avenue North Birmingham, Alabama 35203

Phone: (205) 328-4100 Fax: (205) 324-8866

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have serves a copy of the foregoing document upon the following by placing same in the U.S. Mail, postage prepaid and properly addressed this the 21 day of August, 2004.

Paul P. Bolus, Esq.
Gary L. Howard, Esq.
D. Brian O'Dell
Burr & Forman, LLP
3100 SouthTrust Tower
420 North 20<sup>th</sup> Street
Birmingham, Alabama 35203

Steve Olen, Esq. S. Russ Copeland, Esq. Olen, Nicholas & Copeland, P.C. Post Office Box 1826 Mobile, Alabama 36633

I. David Cherniak, Esq.
David C. Hannan, Esq.
Johnstone, Adams, Bailey, Gordon & Harris, L.L.C.
P.O. Box 1988
Mobile, Alabama 36633

Challass ACO